Document 338

Filed 09/17/2008

Page 1 of 4

Case 5:07-cv-04330-RMW

•	•	
2	H	
3	be	
4	29	
5	E	
6	up	
7	th	
Q	nr	

current employees of Honeywell, and/or (iii) another ex-Honeywell employee, Mr. Grenville Hughes, (collectively, the "Honeywell-Related Depositions") at a date or dates to be agreed upon between the parties and counsel for Honeywell and Mr. Hughes in October 2008 after the August 29, 2008 Close of Fact Discovery; (b) permit the parties to supplement, by October 15, 2008, any Expert Disclosures pursuant to F.R.C.P. 26 (a)(2) made on or before September 15, 2008 based upon specific evidence obtained from the Honeywell-Related Depositions, because it is anticipated that the Honeywell-Related Depositions will occur after September 30, 2008; and (c) modify the pre-trial schedule to move the date for the close of expert discovery from October 17, 2008 to October 31, 2008.

- 2. The parties have each subpoenaed Honeywell for deposition and the production of documents under F.R.C.P. 30(b)(6). The parties and counsel for Honeywell, Anthony Marks, Esq. of Perkins Coie Brown & Bain, originally agreed to a deposition on August 20, 2008 in Minneapolis and to a method for gathering responsive documents. However, in the course of gathering these documents, Honeywell's counsel has advised that there would likely to be in excess of 300MB (roughly 10-20 bankers boxes) of potentially responsive documents, which will not be processed in time for use at the agreed upon deposition date.
- 3. Verigy has also subpoenaed a former Honeywell employee, Mr. Grenville Hughes, who is believed to have information relating to the issues in dispute and to reside in Wavzata, Minnesota, near Minneapolis. The parties' understanding is that Mr. Hughes, who is likely also to be represented by Mr. Marks at his deposition, is unavailable for a late August 2008 deposition due to pre-arranged travel plans out of the country.
- 4. Because of the document production issues, Mr. Hughes' schedule, as well as Honeywell counsel's own travel schedule, the parties were unable to conduct the Honeywell-Related Depositions prior to the August 29, 2008 fact discovery cutoff and, on August 18, 2008, submitted a Stipulation and [Proposed] Order for Limited Modification of Order Re: May 23, 2008 Case Management Conference Hearing ("Prior Order"), signed by the Court on August 21, 2008, which envisioned that the parties would conduct the Honeywell-Related Depositions on September 16-18, 2008.

1	5. Since the Prior Order was signed, however, Honeywell counsel has indicated that					
2	the document production would be delayed further and that the prospective deponents had					
3	additional conflicts which made the mid-September 2008 deposition dates unworkable.					
4	Accordingly, the parties and Honeywell counsel have tentatively made arrangements to conduct					
5	the Honeywell-Related Depositions over October 1-2, 2008 in Minneapolis.					
6	6. The parties also request leave of the Court to permit the parties to supplement, by					
7	October 15, 2008, any Expert Disclosures pursuant to F.R.C.P. 26 (a)(2) made on or before					
8	September 15, 2008 based upon specific evidence obtained from the Honeywell-Related					
9	Depositions, because the parties believe that the Honeywell-Related Depositions will now occur					
10	later than anticipated, and well after the September 15, 2008 deadline set for Expert Disclosures.					
11	7. The parties also request leave of the Court to extend the deadline for the completion					
12	of expert discovery from October 17, 2008 to October 31, 2008 so that the parties' expert					
13	witnesses may be deposed following any supplementation of their Expert Disclosures.					
14	8. Other than the Prior Order addressed in Paragraph 4 above, there have been no					
15	other modifications of the Court's Order Re: May 23, 2008 Case Management Conference					
16	Hearing.					
17	9. In light of the foregoing, the parties hereby request that the Court allow the further					
18	limited modification of the pre-trial schedule as requested and reflected above.					
19	IT SO STIPULATED.					
20	Dated: September 17, 2008 BERGESON, LLP					
21	By:/s/					
22	Michael W. Stebbins, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff					
23	VERIGY US, INC.					
24	Dated: September 17, 2008 RUSSO & HALE, LLP					
25	By:/s/					
26	John Kelley, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants					
27	ROMI OMAR MAYDER, WESLEY					
28						

(	ase 5:07-cv-04330-RMW	Document 338	Filed 09/17/2008	Page 4 of 4		
1			MAYDER, SILICON INC., and SILICON	TEST SYSTEMS,		
2			LLC	rest solutions,		
3						
4	<u>ORDER</u>					
5	In consideration of the foregoing stipulation and good cause appearing therefor, IT IS SO					
6	ORDERED.					
7						
8						
9						
10						
11	DATED:		TI O DIOTRICE CO			
12			U. S. DISTRICT CO	URT JUDGE		
13						
14						
15		•				
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21 22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
		4				
	STIP. AND ORDER			C07-04330 RMW (HRL)		